WAVERLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE BRIEFING

20 JANUARY 2021

Title:

MUSEUM OF FARNHAM: PROPOSITION FOR A REVIEW OF SERVICE DELIVERY OPTIONS

Portfolio Holder: Cllr Michaela Martin, Portfolio Holder for Culture, Wellbeing and

Health

Head of Service: Kelvin Mills, Head of Commercial Services

Key decision: No

Access: Public

Note pursuant to Section 100B(5) of the Local Government Act 1972

This report / an Annexe to this report contains exempt information by virtue of which the public is likely to be excluded during the item to which the report relates, as specified in Paragraph [...] of Part I of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972, namely:

1. Purpose and summary

At the Community O & S meeting on 17 November 2020, Members supported a recommendation to consider the notion of extracting the Museum of Farnham from Willmer House; and to explore the implications of a separation on the service and the collection.

It was agreed that Officers would return to the Committee in January with an outline proposition for an assessment of the alternative service delivery options available to the Museum of Farnham.

Museum Service Options

The following options have been identified for consideration:

- Museum to stay in Willmer House assuming external funding can be secured to pay for repairs and provide the substance for a radical re-offering of the museum service.
- 2) Community outreach museum assuming a small physical base for staff, increased storage facilities for the collection, exhibition cases in a number of public buildings within and around Farnham with regularly changing displays, improved digital and learning offer, improved access to the collection on-line.

- 3) Alternative premises for the museum short and long term options e.g. the library building, The Maltings, Farnham Castle, a retail unit.
- 4) Partnership approach with Farnham Town Council to follow.

PESTLE Analysis

The service options will have a fuller description of their key characteristics including:

- Cultural and community offering
- · operating arrangements
- · key partners and providers involved
- funding arrangements

Each option will then be tested using a PESTLE framework to identify the external factors that have an impact. These factors are categorised as follows:

Political	Local, national context
Economic	Costs, savings, income generation, invest to save, sustainability, external funding
Socio-cultural	Cultural/heritage offer to the community,future legacy
Technological	Digital offer, audience development, increased access
Legal	Restrictions, covenants, ethical standards, statutory obligations
Environmental	Carbon emissions vs carbon capture, the preservation of the collection

The findings of the PESTLE will inform a SWOT analysis to determine the advantages and disadvantages offered by each so that a preferred option can be determined and developed further.

Methodology and timeline

Agree the service options and the methodology for the review	January 2021
Look at / apply for ACE project funding to pay for external consultancy to lead the review process	Application end of Feb
Hold a workshop to apply PESTLE and SWOT frameworks to the options	March or later if funding is successful
Report combined findings of Willmer House property appraisal carried out by Montagu Evans and the findings of the service review	Community O & S June
5. develop business/funding case for the preferred option	
Report recommendation of the Preferred Option to The Executive and Full Council	July

External Funding

Since the meeting in November a significant national funding opportunity has come to the attention of Officers, which is yet to be announced publicly. Should this external funding opportunity provide a plausible option for supporting the costs of repairing Willmer House, officers would recommend diverting efforts to getting 'application ready' until such option is exhausted.

2. Recommendation

Members of the Community Overview and Scrutiny Committee are asked to comment on the proposition put forward in this report to assess the advantages and disadvantages of the alternative service options and to recommend:

- 1. The assessment of the service delivery OPTIONS listed in this report.
- 2. Applying the PESTLE and SWOT analysis tools as a proposed methodology for the assessment.
- 3. The timeline set out for the review.
- 4. That officer time and resource is diverted to applying for grants if a suitable funding opportunity to repair Willmer House becomes available.

5. Relationship to the Corporate Strategy and Service Plan

5.1 This report relates to the priority of delivering high quality public services for all including sports, leisure, arts, culture and open spaces.

6. <u>Implications of decision</u>

6.1 Resource (Finance, procurement, staffing, IT)

None

6.2 Risk management

None

6.3 Legal

None

6.4 Equality, diversity and inclusion

To be considered as part of the review process.

6.5 Climate emergency declaration

To be considered as part of the review process.

7. Consultation and engagement

- Museum stakeholder event in September 2019
- Ongoing involvement of Portfolio Holders for Culture, Health and Wellbeing and Property, Finance and Assets
- Regular reporting to Community O&S Committee
- Regular updates from Farnham Maltings and the museum staff team
- Wider consultation with Surrey Heritage, South East Museum Development Service, Arts Council England.

8. Other options considered

8.1 Montagu Evans have been commissioned to produce a property options appraisal for Willmer House. The Council is currently awaiting the findings of the report.

CONTACT OFFICER:

Name: Charlotte Hall

Position: Arts and Cultural Services Manager

Telephone: 01483 523390

Email: charlotte.hall@waverley.gov.uk

Agreed and signed off by:

Legal Services: date Head of Finance: date Strategic Director: date Portfolio Holder: date